

Article Review

Name

Instructor

Institution

Location

Date of Submission

Cooksy, J. L., Gill, P., Kelly, A. P. (2001). The program logic model as an integrative framework for a multi method evaluation. *Evaluation and Program Planning* 24, 119 – 128.

Purpose of the study

The main purpose of the article by Coosky et al. (2001) is to find out how the logic model is used as an integrative framework for analysis.

Methodology used

The methodology that was used in the paper in order to show how the logic model is used as an integrative framework entailed a case study approach. Indeed, the researchers' assessed Project TEAMS which entails a middle school curriculum delivery program aimed at integrating active learning strategies, computer access and interdisciplinary instructions into normal classroom activities. Considering that purpose of the study, the method that was used was ideal since it helped the researchers to find out how the logic model is used as an integrative framework for analysis.

The findings, statistical and practical significance of these results

Once data has been collected and analyzed, the researcher found that logic model was applied in; focusing the data collection initiatives on relevant activities and outcomes, arranging the data and analyzing the data from various multiple approaches and sources as per an integrative framework. In that view, the study can be said to have found that logic models can be used as an analysis framework.

In perspective of the significance of the findings of the study, the findings of the research which showed that program theory can be a vital integrative framework for evaluations can be explained to have offered insights into how an integrative framework can be used when using various methods in evaluations.

Did the authors consider all relevant studies? If not, how might this have changed the conclusions?

From the article, there is no doubt that the researches have considered all the relevant studies related to this particular research. Among others, some of the studies that have been referred to in this specific study entail studies by Sarrao (1996), Cambell and Fiske (1959) and Denzin (1970).

Have the authors discussed all relevant limitations?

Yes, from the analysis of the research article, the authors appear to have discussed all the relevant limitation since they have highlighted some of aspects of the study that could be considered to be the limitations of the research.

Are the conclusions justified based on the results presented?

As far as the conclusions of the research are concerned, they can be explained to be justified since they are based on the findings of the study.

Have the authors ruled out alternative explanations to the study findings?

Since the authors have not highlighted anything to do with alternative explanations to the study findings, it cannot be said that they have ruled out alternative explanations to the study findings.

Are you confident in the study results?

Yes, I am confident with the findings of the study since I feel that the researchers used the ideal research methods.

Are the study results relevant to a Public Administration Setting?

Yes, the findings of the study can be explained to be relevant to a public administration setting even though not in a big way.